

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_111260
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization Non-Tax State Revenue Through Reutilizing State-Owned Property
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. Optimizing non-tax state revenue through reusing state assets is quite interesting content, which will contribute to future review and research. 2. The Title of The Article Should Be: Factors Organizational Image, Quality of State-Owned Property Affecting the State-Owned Property Reutilization Decision Through The Intermediate Value Customer Value 3. The abstract should not clearly divide content such as purpose, research design, research location and time, research methods, results and conclusions. -Should be written briefly, in the content highlighting ideas about research objectives, research methods, and research results data to confirm which factors play an important role and need to be improved, in order to improve the quality of research. High value of reusing state assets. 4. Should be rearranged according to the content of section - Abstract - Introduction - Theoretical foundations and research hypotheses: - Research methods - Results and analysis - Conclusions, recommendations - References 5. Should be adjusted according to comments 6. The article uses the IEEE citation method 7. In scientific writing, citations that are 5 years old should be used. Some special content may be 10 years older than it is now. Theoretically, there is no year limit	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The sentence is about description, please describe more briefly	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Văn Nhân, Nguyễn
Department, University & Country	Nguyen Tat Thanh University, Vietnam