

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100727
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil Quality Index for Different Cropping Systems in Northwestern Himalaya Region of India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Moderately important</p> <p>Title is suitable and reflect the study conducted</p> <p>The abstract is not comprehensively written, some of the findings are not highlighted in the abstract Moderate</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The reference use is outdated. Author needs to find the references at least 5 years back which is lacking in the paper</p> <p>Additional comment: The data obtained from the study is very comprehensive, but the findings were not highlighted in the results and not well-discussed. Some of the method is unclear especially the soil-analysis related procedure (eg: why the ratio being selected, how does the texture be related with other SQI parameters, what is the range of SQI for each parameter, etc.). Some of the information delivered are mislead due to grammatical error. Other comments were included in the returned manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The author needs to revise the English quality, I propose the author to have a proofread service.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nur Qursyna Boll Kassim
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia