

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111271
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of herbicides and nano urea mixture on weeds, yield attributes and yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes: A field experiment was conducted in order to study the impact of use of herbicides and nano urea mixture on weeds, yield attributes and yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum). Obtained results reported that highest grain yield was recorded with the ready mixed application of clodinafop + metribuzin 60 + 210 g ha ⁻¹ with nano urea 3 ml L ⁻¹ (5876 kg ha ⁻¹). However, the article has some shortfalls with the language that needs to be attended to by thoroughly editing the manuscript's language. Please make sure that the manuscript is thoroughly reviewed. Numerous typos and syntax issues are still present. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes	I have read the reviewed corrected article, but some correction done by committee members already in my paper. So I could not understand what others corrections are to be done in further.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	