

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110876
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Variability and Association Studies in Germplasm Accessions and Land Races of Wheat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes. Wheat is important for food security around the world.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes. However, it needs to put the experimental design.</p> <p>Yes. How many percent of selection are used to calculate the GA or GAM. After result of heritability and GA, he said that his finding are the same with other finding. However, he did not express the heritability or GAM or both in other finding. In correlation, he show the value with **, I think it do not need to put the ** in result and discussion. Path analysis did not mention in the materials and methods and research and discussion portion. However, it shown in conclusion. Figure title should be under the figure. Footnotes are needed under the table and figure. Reference citation style should be the same. Some authors names are not consistent (spelling error).</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p>	<p>Yes it is important to scientific community.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>I have added augmented design in the abstract.</p> <p>I have improved GA & Heritability discussion. I didn't mentioned path analysis in conclusion or any where in the article.</p> <p>I have added Figure title should be under the figure. I have made necessary improvements in the reference. I have improved.</p> <p>I have made necessary improvements.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	