

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109350
Title of the Manuscript:	Unearthing Nutritional and Agricultural Value through Scientific Innovation in the Natural Gene Pool of Millets
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The review is important for the scientific community because it revealed how modern technology had improved and further improves the cultivation of millet ensuring bio-conservation. It also reemphasizes the importance of millet in poverty alleviation and improving the nutritional its contents.</p> <p>The title is suitable.</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive but it should be aligned.</p> <p>Subsections are appropriate. However, the following points should be noted: Table 1 was not properly shot, if this would pose difficulty, author should endeavour to make a new table without altering the data and duly acknowledge the source. Also, the fat content was reported in ‘KJ/g’ while energy was presented in ‘g’ (..guess there was a mix-up). Author is also required to state the source of tables 1 and 2. The mineral and trace elements in table 2 were presented in mg/g of “N”, what does N stand for? Define terms. Also, author is expected to give a brief statement of the major findings, this was not done. So, author should kindly give a conclusion for the article.</p> <p>It is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are recent but not sufficient. So, more references are required. In addition, author should follow the journal’s referencing style. Visit the journal’s website for guidelines.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is fluent and suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	