

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_111369
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical and Bioanalytical prospecting of <i>Murraya koenigii</i> leaves and exploring its Pharmaceutical properties
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes! It focuses on chemical compounds in plant which are of health benefits. It is a study analysing the contents in extracts of curry plant leaf</p> <p>No! Phytochemical and Bioanalytical studies of <i>Murraya koenigii</i> leaf</p> <p>No! some portion doesn't synchronise with the result and discussion. The abstract focus more on microbiological aspect leaving behind the bioanalytical study of TLC and GC-MS I made few observations of this in my pdf format comments</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>They are! Just notice inconsistency in the style</p>	<p>Agreed and modified the title.</p> <p>Noted and Agreed. Made necessary modifications on the abstract synchronising with the results and discussion. Added some more information on bioanalytical studies.</p> <p>Noted; corrected the references and citations according to the style prescribed under the 'Guidelines for manuscript preparation' of the journal.</p>

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes!	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Since the study did not involve any human or animal experiments, the ethical clearance was not insisted.