

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_110855
Title of the Manuscript:	Dairy products from Burkina Faso: Quality and some fraudulent practices
Type of the Article	Data Note

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>the article deals with a subject of great importance especially in the current context of consumption of dairy products and sub-products in West Africa and Burkina in a direct manner Seeing the title we have the impression that the document deals with local milk, but reading the document we realize that it is talking about processed milk powder. it is therefore preferable to review the title in these terms 'the processing of milk imported into Burkina Faso: fraudulent practices'</p> <p>the summary does not provide all the information needed to understand the content of the document The structure of the document is good Subject to corrections, the document is acceptable references are also acceptable</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	the language is understandable	
Optional/General comments	It is very important to review the title, abstract and introduction. the title to fit the content, the summary to take into account all the results obtained and the introduction to properly situate the problem.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yahoussa Gambo
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Agronomic Research, Niger