

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_110855
Title of the Manuscript:	Dairy products from Burkina Faso: Quality and some fraudulent practices
Type of the Article	Data Note

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	  1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides valuable data on the quality of locally manufactured dairy products in Burkina Faso, including the assessment of raw materials such as powder milk. 2. The title of the article is suitable as it accurately reflects the content and focus of the study on the quality and fraudulent practices in locally manufactured dairy products in Burkina Faso. 3. Yes, the abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study, including the assessment of locally manufactured dairy products, fraudulent practices, physico-chemical and microbiological analyses, sensory evaluations, and ethical considerations. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are generally appropriate, providing clear organization and flow. However, the manuscript lacks a clear introduction section, which would provide an overview of the study's background, objectives, and significance, helping to orient the reader. 5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, as it provides data on the quality of locally manufactured dairy products in Burkina Faso, including physico-chemical and microbiological analyses, and discusses the characteristics of fraudulent practices in the dairy industry. 6. The references provided are sufficient and recent, including studies from 2016, 2018, and 2022, as well as relevant standards and guidelines.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	  1. The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communications, with only minor grammatical and typographical errors.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Jegadeesh Sathaiya
Department, University & Country	Saveetha Engineering College, India