

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_111426
Title of the Manuscript:	Gold Nitrate Nanoparticles: A Candidate for Nanobiotechnology Applications
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, I think so, it deals with a promising application for a type of gold nanoparticles which is so important. No, it is not, A new era for gold nitrate nanoparticle applications may be more suitable title. The abstract need to be rephrased and be clearer. Yes, except material section. Yes. Yes. The material section needs to be discussed in detail and clearer for other researchers in the same field. Kindley check the grammar of the manuscript.	We agree with the requested changes and inform the editor of the changes made: (i) the title has been modified; (ii) the summary was rewritten (iii) the text was grammatically revised.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, unfortunately I think it is poor.	We reinforced to the editor that the text was grammatically reviewed and errors were corrected.
Optional/General comments	All comments are added on the word file of the manuscript.	The highlighted comments were analysed and appreciated throughout the text.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	