

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_111090
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Wearable Sensors in Managing Sport-Related Injuries and Rehabilitative Processes in Young Athletes.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>I appreciate the theme of the article. Using wearable sensors in reducing sports injuries is a novel approach to sports sciences. But there are some observation as follow: -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The type of article is not given 2. The abstract of the article is not comprehensive. 3. If this is a review article, then, search strategy, methodological study quality, publication bias, or many more points are not addressed. 4. No methodology section, results section, or discussion section has been found in the article. 5. The article discusses the general uses of wearable sensors, no specific sensor and their properties have been reported. It looks like the imagination of the author, no support studies are provided. 6. Results are not justifiable. 7. More studies may be cited. 8. The article has the lack of scientific and systematic procedure. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parveen Kumar
Department, University & Country	Chaudhary Ranbir Singh University, India