

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_111276
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Profile Attributes and Psychosocial Well-Being in Elderly Populations
Type of the Article	Research Aricle

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This manuscript is important for the academic community, especially concerning the aging population, which is a current and future trend issue.</p> <p>Title in accordance with the content of the manuscript.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensively discussed by the author. We recommend writing it in a more structured manner, starting with the problem (including objectives), followed by methods, results, and conclusions.</p> <p>We appreciate that the manuscript's structure is adequate. For further refinement, we offer the following suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Data on the research problem (psychological well-being or mental health) is necessary to highlight the importance of conducting the study.2. The introduction should be organized into three or four paragraphs.3. Generally, the objectives are part of the introduction and not a separate section.4. The author can explain the research design used.5. The author can elaborate on the sample criteria.6. It is advisable for the author not to repeat the objectives in the Materials and Methods section, as they have been mentioned earlier.7. I suggest simplifying Table 4.2 by presenting data in categorical form (frequency and proportion for gender, education, and diseases) and central values (mean and standard deviation for age and psychological well-being).8. In the discussion section, we recommend integrating results. We suggest adding a review of previous studies and the author's opinions, especially incorporating recent findings from other researchers. <p>This writing is scientifically accurate.</p> <p>It is necessary to choose a single reference format for consistency in citation, whether alphabetical or numerical order. The UN and WHO are cited in the introduction but are not listed in the bibliography. Anonymous is not found in the citation sentences. There are 12 references older than ten years. Therefore, we recommend the author to add references from the last ten years to enhance the current relevance of the manuscript.</p>	<p>Thank you</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>I have included all the mentioned methods, results and conclusion in a single paragraph and mostly every abstract is single paragraph.</p> <p>All the suggestions mentioned were included</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>Incorporated</p> <p>Incorporated</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		<p>I have used simple English for the article which can be understandable to all groups of individuals.</p>
Optional/General comments		<p>I have included all the suggestions mentioned</p>

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No, there are no ethical issues in my manuscript