

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_112138
Title of the Manuscript:	Grounding Quality Patient Care from the Experiences of Hansenites
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Ans: Yes. If Author(s) can add applications, advantages and disadvantages as separate section that would be great. 2. Is the title of the article suitable? Ans: Looks good to me. 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Ans: Yes. 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Ans: Yes. 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Ans: Yes. 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form Ans: Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	For detail information can Author(s) refer manuscript for the comments? 1. Is there a reason to change the font? 2. Author(s) may want to organize the content based on the headings 3. Author(s) may want to use justify for the statements 4. Author(s) may not want to use upper case for the statements. If necessary Author(s) can use bold text 5. Author(s) used screenshot instead of using table. Either Author want to address as figure or Author(s) may want to create a new table	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manu Mitra
Department, University & Country	University of Bridgeport, USA