

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_111745
Title of the Manuscript:	Agricultural and Manufacturing Pollution: Labor Health and Technology Progress
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, but it needs some improvement in the abstract that clearly points out the result.</p> <p>Revise the title of the article (add the words like Explore, Assessment, Impact, etc)</p> <p>Minor revision in the last paragraph and highlight the findings of the article.</p> <p>No, subsections of the manuscript are not appropriate (add Review of Literature, Theoretical Background of the Study, Rationale of Study, etc.) Yes</p> <p>No, only a few references add more references that make the article more attractive.</p> <p>Add subsection of Review of Literature, Theoretical Background of the Study, Rationale of the Study. In the conclusion clearly points out the relationship between agricultural pollution, labour health, technological progress and the findings of the Study. Do not repeat the same things in the abstract and conclusion.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall Manuscript is correct but needs some revision	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Tahawwar Husain
Department, University & Country	Aligarh Muslim University, India