

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_110786
Title of the Manuscript:	Lectin pathway and complement activation in COVID-19: A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Excellent work and keep going. Here are some of the suggestions regarding the review article. <ul style="list-style-type: none">In the Results and discussions, the studies conducted in various regions are separately mentioned and I felt reading it is somewhat not okay. You can mention the those similar studies conducted using coloums if possible.Do check spelling mistakes (eg. Standard deviation)The various literatures used for the reviews can be explained better by using the main findings of the study they conducted instead giving a vast writings regarding referred literatures.Also better if mention, what does this review add to the existing literatures.Also do check the grammatical mistakes once more.Include whether the chosen studies are appropriate for addressing the research question.Also you can add if there are any gaps in the review that could be explored in future studies.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abhishek S Krishna
Department, University & Country	India