

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_111107
Title of the Manuscript:	In-vitro evaluation of fungicides and botanicals against Uromyces ciceris arietini inciting chickpea rust disease
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 -For an original research article, the manuscript is very short. This paper should be a short communication. -The title is suitable. -The abstract lacks a future use of the study/findings -Would have been better if the manuscript can be expanded a bit more through discussing more of the results. The author should provide more evidence why the pathogen is limited. For example, as the treatment T4 i.e., Tebuconazole 50% + Trifloxystrobin 25% w/w WG and treatment T5 i.e., Azoxystrobin 18.2% + Difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC were found effective, then what component/s of these treatments (differ to other treatments) have which make them more effective on negating the pathogen? Later, you can add other findings to support your own findings. -You can also add more information about your treatments in the introduction. -It is correct but for an original publication, it needs additional in-vivo findings. -Should add more reference.	 As this is a new topic in rust pathology more work has to be done Agree Incorporated The chemical name itself indicates the group it belongs, so that particular group has activity against rust pathogens Agree, but it is supposed to publish after some time Agree, added some more references
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No