

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111060
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance in F2 segregating population of cross RNR-15048 x Dokra-Dokri in rice (Oryza sativa L.).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript the manuscript is important to the scientific community</p> <p>2. The title is suitable</p> <p>3. The Abstract is comprehensive and well written. I suggest that the meaning of acronym PVC and GVC should be written in full at first usage. Also “percent” should be written instead of the symbol %</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p> <p>The author(s) should go through the article to put/add space where there are no space between full stop and the first letter of the next sentence; and where there are no space between words. There many of such in the article</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript demonstrates relevance, impact, and citations, it can be considered important for the scientific community.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abass Adebayo Olalekan
Department, University & Country	Lagos State University of Education, Nigeria