

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_111229
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance Assessment of Solar Parabolic Trough Collector with Varied Receiver Tube Materials
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes, it is very important because of part of green energy policy</b></p> <p><b>“Comparative Performance Analysis of stainless-steel tube and glass coated copper tube receiver in Parabolic Trough Collectors for Enhanced Thermal Efficiency”. More suitable</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the abstract contains everything to be included</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>I recommend that he should add more references and should be recent. Reference part of this manuscript is not satisfactory.</b></p>	<p><b>Agreed with the corrections suggested. Corrections have been incorporated in the manuscript</b></p>
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

## PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	