

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_110932
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN POULTRY FARMING IN REASI DISTT. OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR, INDIA
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<p>Yes, the study is important for the scientific community. The problems faced by the poultry farmers in the hilly areas of Reasi district of Jammu and Kashmir are a need of the hour to improve the farmer's livelihood. The issues need to be addressed either at the Government level or at the Poultry Federations. So, the authors have made good and sincere efforts to justify the same.</p> <p>No. The title should be “<b>Identification of constraints in poultry farming in Reasi district of Jammu and Kashmir, India</b>”</p> <p><b>Yes, the corrections need to be incorporated.</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>The author has not mentioned a single reference in the Introduction section. The Discussion part is very poor. It should be strengthened.</b> Some of the references are listed in References section but not mentioned in the text part. Justify.</p>	The corrections incorporated
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, needs improvisation.	Improvise
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issue