

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_109560
Title of the Manuscript:	SPEED BREEDING : ONE OF THE RAPID GENERATION ADVANCEMENT APPROACH
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. The article is great for increasing the speed of breeding in the field of plants, but it should be seen in practice whether it can cause a reduction in the generation of all types of plants and whether it is practical or not. 2. Yes, it is suitable. Another suitable title can be "Speed Breeding: Accelerating Crop Research and Breeding" 3. It can be better. 4. No, it is not suitable. 5. Yes. 6. The reference method is not correct.	Noted Revised Corrected
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor editing of English language required.	Done
Optional/General comments	Please re-write the written sections and add more complete explanations for different sections. Please make sure to define all abbreviations at the beginning. The reference of the texts must be done correctly and with the relevant software. Minor comments: Line 38. Consider replacing "Consequently" with shorter "Thus" or "Hence". Line 45. Might need a comma after "Hence". Line 58. Replace "utilize" with simple "use". Line 15. Consider replacing "has the potential to" with simple "can". Line 86. The word "significant" is often misused and vague. It might mean statistically significant or significant to the author. State significance quantitatively. Line 74. Instead of "years", it might be better to give the exact year of the event.	Noted and revised Amended

Review Form 1.7

	<div>Line 113. Put a space between the digit 45 and the unit cm</div> <div>Line 146. Consider replacing "subsequent" with shorter "later".</div> <div>Line 171. In American English, word “physiological” and "morphological" is spelled as “physiologic” and "morphologic ".</div>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	