

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_110839
Title of the Manuscript:	Stock assessment with Virtual population Analysis (VPA) and population dynamic of the blue runner Caranx crysos (Mitchill,1815) within Côte d'Ivoire's coastal waters
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The study on Stock Assessment utilizing Virtual Population Analysis (VPA) and Population Dynamics of the blue runner <i>Caranx crysos</i> (Mitchill, 1815) within the coastal waters of Côte d'Ivoire necessitates a comprehensive revision starting from the title to ensure its suitability for publication.</p> <p>Typically, stock assessments are conducted with a minimum of two years worth of data to meet publication standards. Therefore, a meticulous data collection process spanning at least two years is imperative to derive accurate population characteristics.</p> <p>The paper currently exhibits an average level of writing quality and contains language errors that require significant revision.</p> <p>The final acceptance of the article rests with the editors, and in my opinion, substantial revisions are necessary for its successful publication. Thank you for considering my input on the review of the article</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Surya S.
Department, University & Country	Vizhinjam Regional Centre of CMFRI, India