

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_109467
Title of the Manuscript:	Mitigation of Soil Pollution by Biodegradation of Plastic Materials through Activity of Mealworms
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes, it could be important for scientific community. Especially for environmentalists.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes, it is appropriate</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes, it is. But please include the main results as well.</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes, the structure is appropriate considering what the journal requires.</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? It could be said yes.</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. No, they are not.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Noted</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>To be a review article, it needs to show a greater amount of information about the topic. It is necessary to do an exhaustive search of the results published in the last ten years and present them in tables and diagrams perfectly classified and including their corresponding analysis of results.</p>	<p>Noted</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	