

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_110982
Title of the Manuscript:	Panel Vector Autoregressive Modeling of Macroeconomic Interaction in Nigeria, Ghana and Cameroon.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Restructure the introduction part: Reduce the importance of panel data analysis in one or two sentences. Depict the research problem and related literature review in one or two paragraphs instead of each paragraph for each literature review. Provide more background from the literature on interdependencies among economies.</p> <p>2. Results and discussion are incomplete: The R-squared reference is in the table (please verify). Also, the relationship between economic variables and their implications must be provided—the absence of the implications derived from the relationships between economic variables. Discussing the repercussions of these interdependencies on economic policies, regional stability, and potential strategies for fostering sustainable growth is crucial for the manuscript's completeness.</p> <p>Clarity and completeness in analysis tables and conclusions are crucial in the original research paper. Revising the above aspects can bring good insights useful in making policy implications.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marvin Sabu
Department, University & Country	The Cochin College, India