

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111230
Title of the Manuscript:	Music teaching in contemporary junior high schools in China: A review
Type of the Article	REVIEW

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  1. The manuscript has an important contribution for scientific community because it addresses the role of music education in China. It provides a more comprehensive about the development of recent music education in China. 2. The title of the article has represented the content well. 3. The abstract is comprehensive. The writer needs to discuss the selection of the 20 articles chosen. 4. The subsections are appropriate. A section on the methodology of review and articles selection need to be added. 5. It is scientifically sound. 6. The references are current. References on the framework of review and method are needed. They are absent in this manuscript.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is acceptable for scientific publication. Tenses consistency need to be maintained. The referencing should abide to one of the referencing systems.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	References on the framework of review and method are needed. They are absent in this manuscript.  A section on the methodology of review and articles selection need to be added.  The writer needs to discuss the criteria of selection of the 20 articles chosen.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ouda Teda Ena
Department, University & Country	Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia