

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111063
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Parental Educational Levels on Hard Skills Development: A Two-Way MANOVA Analys
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	  1. Yes. The manuscript seems to be important for scientific community  2. I would suggest a title with specificity: Alternative title: Influence of Parental Educational Levels on <b>children's</b> Hard Skills Development: A Two-Way MANOVA Analys 3. The abstract seems to be comprehensive, but I would suggest that the part of <u>study design and place and duration of the study</u> to be under <b>methodology</b> part 4. See the above comment for the structure. And problem statement to be under introduction section 5. Yes, however, I would suggest the use of numerical findings <b>in result section</b> in abstract (percentage, ratio, rate...) as catchy summary of the research 6. I would suggest the use of references and citations in <b>Introduction part</b> . Use same referencing style in both text and reference list (not mixing Vancouver and APA referencing style)	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The research topic is interesting and the overall aspect of this manuscript is good. The author is just advised to consider the above comments for improvement	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Michel Nshimiyimana
Department, University & Country	Rwanda