Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_110886
Title of the Manuscript:	LEARNING MEDIA DEVELOPMENT PHOTOVOLTAIC (PV) INTEGRATION DATA COMMUNICATION SCALABLE MODEL WITH INTERNET OF THINGS (IoT)-ASSISTED MONITORING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) Minor REVISION comments 1. The is important for scientific community 2. Yes, the title is okay 3. No, the abstract contains a lot of ambiguity and inconsistency and requires a rewrite to clearly: 5. Show the thesis statement ii. Objective(s) iii. Methodology 5. Main recommendations iii. Implications of the study 5. Implications of the study 6. Are the references, please mention in the review form. 4. Except it is the format, the paper supposed to have separate sections for objectives and literature review. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. There is consistency in in text citations and references are very insufficient and there is need to add more. 7. If the concerns are address, the manuscript can be better and may be accepted for publication	viewer, correct in the ors should write
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 1. The is important for scientific community 2. Yes, the title is okay 3. No, the abstract contains a lot of ambiguity and inconsistency and requires a rewrite to clearly: 5. Show the thesis statement ii. Objective(s) iii. Methodology v. Major conclusion vi. Main recommendations vii. In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. ix. Check your stated instrument and the techniques for analysis to ensure agreement 4. Except it is the format, the paper supposed to have separate sections for objectives and literature review. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. There is consistency in in text citations and references are very insufficient and there is need to add more. 7. If the concerns are address, the manuscript can be better and may be accepted for publication	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 1. The is important for scientific community 2. Yes, the title is okay 3. No, the abstract contains a lot of ambiguity and inconsistency and requires a rewrite to clearly: 5. Show the thesis statement ii. Objective(s) iii. Methodology iv. Summary of findings v. Major conclusion vi. Main recommendations vii. Implications of the study viii. In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. ix. Check your stated instrument and the techniques for analysis to ensure agreement 4. Except it is the format, the paper supposed to have separate sections for objectives and iterature review. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. There is consistency in in text citations and references are very insufficient and there is need to add more. 7. If the concerns are address, the manuscript can be better and may be accepted for publication	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 2. Yes, the title is okay 3. No, the abstract contains a lot of ambiguity and inconsistency and requires a rewrite to clearly: 5. Show the thesis statement ii. Objective(s) iii. Methodology iv. Summary of findings v. Major conclusion vii. Implications of the study viii. In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. ix. Check your stated instrument and the techniques for analysis to ensure agreement 4. Except it is the format, the paper supposed to have separate sections for objectives and literature review. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. There is consistency in in text citations and references are very insufficient and there is need to add more. 7. If the concerns are address, the manuscript can be better and may be accepted for publication	
(Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 3. No, the abstract contains a lot of ambiguity and inconsistency and requires a rewrite to clearly: 5. Show the thesis statement ii. Objective(s) iii. Methodology v. Major conclusion vii. Implications of the study viii. In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. ix. Check your stated instrument and the techniques for analysis to ensure agreement 4. Except it is the format, the paper supposed to have separate sections for objectives and literature review. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. There is consistency in in text citations and references are very insufficient and there is need to add more. 7. If the concerns are address, the manuscript can be better and may be accepted for publication	
(If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) ii. Methodology iv. Summary of findings v. Major conclusion vi. Main recommendations viii. Implications of the study viii. In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. ix. Check your stated instrument and the techniques for analysis to ensure agreement 4. Except it is the format, the paper supposed to have separate sections for objectives and literature review. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. There is consistency in in text citations and references are very insufficient and there is need to add more. 7. If the concerns are address, the manuscript can be better and may be accepted for publication	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) iii. Methodology iv. Summary of findings v. Major conclusion vi. Main recommendations vii. Implications of the study viii. In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. Check your stated instrument and the techniques for analysis to ensure agreement 4. Except it is the format, the paper supposed to have separate sections for objectives and literature review. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. There is consistency in in text citations and references are very insufficient and there is need to add more. 7. If the concerns are address, the manuscript can be better and may be accepted for publication	
 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) iv. Summary of findings v. Main recommendations vii. Implications of the study viii. Implications of the study Acheck your stated instrument and the techniques f	
 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) (In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. (In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. (In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. (In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. (In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. (In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. (In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. (In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. (In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. (In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes.	
 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) (Apart from above mentioned 5 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) (Implications of the study viii. In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide and literature review. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct There is consistency in in text citations and references are very insufficient and there is need to add more. If the concerns are address, the manuscript can be better and may be accepted for publication 	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. Check your stated instrument and the techniques for analysis to ensure agreement Except it is the format, the paper supposed to have separate sections for objectives and literature review. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. There is consistency in in text citations and references are very insufficient and there is need to add more. 7. If the concerns are address, the manuscript can be better and may be accepted for publication	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) (Except it is the format, the paper supposed to have separate sections for objectives and literature review. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. There is consistency in in text citations and references are very insufficient and there is need to add more. 7. If the concerns are address, the manuscript can be better and may be accepted for publication	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) and literature review. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. There is consistency in in text citations and references are very insufficient and there is need to add more. 7. If the concerns are address, the manuscript can be better and may be accepted for publication	
additional suggestions/comments) 6. There is consistency in in text citations and references are very insufficient and there is need to add more. 7. If the concerns are address, the manuscript can be better and may be accepted for publication	
there is need to add more. 7. If the concerns are address, the manuscript can be better and may be accepted for publication	
publication	
Minor REVISION comments	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	
Optional/General comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part
		in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kusugh Ternenge
Department, University & Country	Veritas University, Nigeria

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)