

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_110886
Title of the Manuscript:	LEARNING MEDIA DEVELOPMENT PHOTOVOLTAIC (PV) INTEGRATION DATA COMMUNICATION SCALABLE MODEL WITH INTERNET OF THINGS (IoT)-ASSISTED MONITORING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	  1. <b>The is important for scientific community</b> 2. <b>Yes, the title is okay</b> 3. <b>No, the abstract contains a lot of ambiguity and inconsistency and requires a rewrite to clearly:</b> i. Show the thesis statement ii. Objective(s) iii. Methodology iv. Summary of findings v. Major conclusion vi. Main recommendations vii. Implications of the study viii. In addition, it should be written in past tense since the work has been completed and the abstract is executively reporting the outcomes. ix. Check your stated instrument and the techniques for analysis to ensure agreement 4. <b>Except it is the format, the paper supposed to have separate sections for objectives and literature review.</b> 5. <b>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</b> 6. <b>There is consistency in in text citations and references are very insufficient and there is need to add more.</b> 7. <b>If the concerns are address, the manuscript can be better and may be accepted for publication</b>	  Noted
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	