

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_110886
Title of the Manuscript:	LEARNING MEDIA DEVELOPMENT PHOTOVOLTAIC (PV) INTEGRATION DATA COMMUNICATION SCALABLE MODEL WITH INTERNET OF THINGS (IoT)-ASSISTED MONITORING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. Combining data communication, educational materials and photovoltaic energy to improve learning tools for students can be a good thematic for scientific community. Indeed these domains represent development sectors for the whole word. However it is important to well show the scientific contribution in relation to the existing. 2. The title is suitable but, it is not very formulated. For example: "Learning Media Development for student's data communication by using a photovoltaic scalable model with internet of thing IoT-assisted monitoring". 3. The abstract is easy to read and gives a general idea of the background of this manuscript. So abstract is acceptable. 4. Regarding to the structure, sections are not numbered. Numbering would make the manuscript more presentable. Figures and titles must be centered. The figures title of this manuscript are not good presented. In the text, there are no precision of what each figure represents. Writing and different parts of this manuscript are very tight and the fonts used in the text are different, sometimes the author utilize Arial, sometimes New time Roman.... 5. The method subsection is very poor, there are no details of the used methodology and conclusion needs to be redone but the rest is affordable. 6. There are not enough reference. Find others papers which deal with the same thematic to make the document richer. • The introduction is well written but it is very long and can be reformulated. The context and challenges related to the contribution limitations of education in social and technological development in the country are well established, as well as the solutions to train students with high skills. In the other hand, the energy challenges are elaborated. However, the relationship between the education materials proposed to train students and the challenges of development are not well explicated. The last paragraph is very confused and objectives are not well defined. It will be interesting here to well show that the designed educational materials to facilitate the students learning are applied to energy sector in order to contribute to social and technological development. The state of art is poor, find others papers which are dealt with the same thematic will bring out the contributions of the papers. • The first paragraph of conclusion is very long, punctuations are not respected. In conclusion, it is not about to discuss only results, the author must do a short summary of everything which has been done in the manuscript, including results. • There are no comparison with literature.	Noted
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language is easy to understand. Therefore, it is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	