

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_110787
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Formative Assessment Practices in Social Studies Instructions: A Case Study of Holy Child College of Education
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. In my opinion, this manuscript is important for scientific community. As we know, formative assessment is important thing in teaching and learning process. It indicates the students' ability or skills in mastering a lesson. 2. I think, this title is suitable for your study. 3. Of course, the abstract of this article is comprehensive. The author wrote the organized abstract. The author explained the background of the problem, purpose, collecting data, analyzing data, the result, and the conclusion of this article. 4. I think, any a few revision for the name of the structure, we can use IMRAD template. Introduction, Methods, Result, And Discussion. Then, we add Conclusion and Recommendation. 5. I think, the author can add more articles, minimum 10 articles in the last 5 years. It aims to strengthening the issue. 6. I think, you can add the other references such as from Brown's book ""Language Assessment", J. Mueller's book "authentic assessment toolbox", or Habiburrahman and Trisno's article "The Evaluation Of The Formative Assessment In Textbook For Senior High School Students Grade XI" 7. In beginning of paragraph, the author can give Tab in order to look so orderly.	1. References updated 2. Brown cited 3. The arrangement of the manuscript has been revised
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Overall, the English quality is very good. But, I suggests that the word "research" can change to the word "study". The word "research" is suitable for the thesis or dissertation. The word "study" is suitable for the article. And also, the word "researcher" can change to the word "writer". After that, check the spelling such as the word "realised", "analysed", and so on. Then, please check the grammar and the consistency in writing the word such as "learner and student", "study and research", and so on. The last, this article is suitable for scholarly communications.	Use of study and writer have been used as suggested by the reviewer. The consistency of the use of learner and student has been corrected
Optional/General comments	In general, this article is great. Moreover, I suggests that the author should add the more appropriate articles to strengthening the articles.	It has been done and highlighted yellow in the manuscript

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	