

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_110787
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Formative Assessment Practices in Social Studies Instructions: A Case Study of Holy Child College of Education
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	  1. My opinion, article manuscripts are important because they increase knowledge about the implementation of social sciences  2. The title is in accordance with the scope of the journal, but the writing of the title is less implemented in the case study approach. My suggestion is that the title is more appropriate using implementation, not efficacy  3. Please revise the abstract again by explaining the research objectives explicitly, so that readers can obtain more comprehensive information.  4. Please check the article writing again by adjusting the template  5. Manuscripts are in accordance with scientific principles based on data and theories from previous researchers  6. If references are still lacking, add relevant journals and add relevant theories. because this journal has international credibility	  The study was to seek the usefulness of formative assessment practices by tutors, efficacy fit into the study than implementation.  The objective has been stated in the both abstract and main work.  Arranged now to suit the template.  A theory has been well stated in the work now  References updated
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Please use correct terms in scientific writing so that it is easy to understand. there are terms that are less relevant but do not reduce the meaning of the substance of the article	Terms revised- from research to study; researcher to writer
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	in the context of the discussion, the interview data must be compared with previous research and the theory used and at the end a comprehensive explanation must be given. Qualitative research must sharpen analysis by comparing data findings, theory and previous research.	The theory has been used to discuss the findings of the study

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	