

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_110977
Title of the Manuscript:	ASYMMETRIC RESPONSE OF FISCAL SUSTAINABILITY TO THE FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>This topic of research is quite interesting and lot of economic significance across the world. It is good paper but have to under-take significant revisions into improve the quality of this paper as following:</p> <p>1). Please improve the quality of writing and remove grammatical mistakes</p> <p>2). Please also clearly explain contribution of paper in Introduction section</p> <p>3). Also add latest studies related to this study in literature review</p> <p>4). Methodology section is ok.</p> <p>5). This study has applied NARDL methods that is correct. Please write clearly in methodology that alternatively, we can also apply suitable techniques such as Time Series-ARDL, dynamic ARDL simulations technique as well as DOLS, CCR & FMOLS methods as existing empirical work of Ameer et al., (2017), Amin et al., (2022), Ameer et al., (2022), Yu et al., (2022) and Xiu et al., (2022) had applied these econometric methods in their time series single country dataset and cite these following studies in their methodology section.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Waqar Ameer, Helian Xu & Mohammed Saud M Alotaish (2017) Outward foreign direct investment and domestic investment: evidence from China, Economic ResearchEkonomska Istraživanja, 30:1, 777-788, DOI: 10.1080/1331677X.2017.1314824 Amin A, Ameer W, Yousaf H and Akbar M (2022) Financial Development, Institutional Quality, and the Influence of Various Environmental Factors on Carbon Dioxide Emissions: Exploring the Nexus in China. Front. Environ. Sci. 9:838714. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2021.838714 Xiu J, Ameer W, Abbas S and Altıntaş M (2022) Does Carbon Emissions, and Economic Expansion Induce Health Expenditure in China: Evidence for Sustainability Perspective. Front. Environ. Sci. 9:838734. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2021.838734 Ameer W, Amin A and Xu H (2022) "Does Institutional Quality, Natural Resources, Globalization, and Renewable Energy Contribute to Environmental Pollution in China? Role of Financialization". Front. Public Health 10:849946. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.849946 Yu W, Zhan Q, Ameer W, Li L, Tarczyński W and Mentel U (2022) Effects of Heterogeneity of Financialization on Firm Innovation: Evidence in Context of Energy Transition in Lens of Research and Development. Front. Energy Res. 10:930974. doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2022.930974 	<p>We sincerely appreciate the comments of the reviewers in enriching the quality of this study, however, we have improve the work as suggested by the reviewer.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Proofreading is carried out from the beginning to the end to improve the quality of the writing as well remove all the mistakes and grammatical errors. The contribution of the study as been added in the second to the last paragraph of introduction as suggested. The literature has been updated up to the recent researches conducted in 2023. Appreciate your comments on the methodology. All the papers you suggested has been fully cited in the work and all the references are updated as well. <p>NB: all the affected areas are highlighted in yellow as required.</p>

Review Form 1.7

	6). Rest of sections of this proposed study are fine Note: Please revise paper as reviewer's comments. thank you	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? No	Please improve the language of this paper and remove grammatical mistakes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	