

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_110729
Title of the Manuscript:	21st Century Entrepreneurship Challenges and Opportunities
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is extremely important for both the scientific and the business community. It contains a lot of interesting venues for research and opportunity for networking among stakeholders. Well done!</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of the article contains the necessary elements that give a brief synthesis of the article in question. However, the author must undergo a thorough review process in terms of sentence construction and grammar. A reviewer that specialises in top-notch English Language would do a great job. This has to be done in consultation with the author. Also, this comment is valid for the whole manuscript. In terms of structure the author needs to consult the guidelines suggested by the journal.</p> <p>The article is scientifically correct in terms of roper flow of arguments and factual information. Since the paper does not contain rigorous research methods such a qualitative or quantitative measures it would need to contain more factual suggestions that would help stakeholders in the business to flourish.</p> <p>References are recent.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	This is the downside of this article. The author must consult a reviewer for English Language usage.	
Optional/General comments	My suggestion is that the author reviews this article in terms of correct English usage and to resubmit. I would be more than willing to assist.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Brian Vassallo
Department, University & Country	University of Malta, Malta