

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_111253
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING, INVITRO ANTIOXIDANT, ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITIES ON SKIMMIA LAUREOLA LEAVES EXTRACT
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>1. The paper provides a detailed description of the macroscopic and microscopic characteristics of Skimmia laureola. 2. It provides an in-depth analysis of the phytochemical screening, in vitro antioxidant, and antibacterial activities of the Skimmia laureola leaves extract. 3. It provides results that demonstrate the methanolic extract of Skimmia laureola has the highest antioxidative and metal chelating activity. 4. It shows that the ethanol, petroleum ether, and chloroform extracts have a lower antioxidative and metal chelating activity. 5. It shows that the ethanolic extract was particularly effective against Straptococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis, and E.coli.</p> <p>PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING, INVITRO ANTIOXIDANT, ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITIES ON SKIMMIA LAUREOLA LEAVES EXTRACT</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>1. The paper does not mention any competing interests related to the Skimmia laureola leaves extract. 2. The paper does not mention any ethics approval or consent to participate regarding the experiment on the Skimmia laureola leaves extract. 3. The in vitro antibacterial activity of the Skimmia laureola leaves extract was not mentioned in the study, so it is unclear what the activity was. 4. The paper does not provide any information on the potential side effects of the Skimmia laureola leaves extract. 5. The paper does not provide any information on the potential long-term effects of the Skimmia laureola leaves extract.</p> <p>Nil</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Please correct some grammatical mistakes.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahalakshmi D
Department, University & Country	Saveetha College of Pharmacy, India