

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJECC_111779   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Mode and dose of boron nutrition on growth, productivity, nutrient uptake and quality of a rice-groundnut cropping system |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?<br><br>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?<br><br>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?<br><br>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br><br>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | <br>1. Yes it is. Cropping, if well investigated and implemented can maximise crop yield resulting in food security<br><br>2. The title is suitable<br><br>3. There is need to capture the problem statement, aim of the study and inferences made from the findings.<br><br>4. Ok<br><br>5. Yes. The materials, methods and results well presented<br><br>6. Agricultural issues are very topical and dynamic hence more current sources could have been utilised<br>7. The problem was not explicitly stated<br>8. Specific objectives on which to base the findings, discussion and conclusion are<br>9. The conclusion should include the impact of the study which should also be captured in the abstract |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | There is need for more editing in the whole document as indicated from the reviewed text. Otherwise if the minor issues in the text are addressed the language will be deemed suitable.   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments   | With minor corrections as suggested, the manuscript is worth publishing   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                  |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Misozi Chiweshe                  |
| Department, University & Country | University of Zimbabwe, Zimbabwe |