Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111743
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATION STUDIES ON DIFFERENT GROWTH PARAMETER OF SPICE CROPS UNDER POPLAR BASED AGROFORESTRY SYSTEM
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
 Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	This manuscript is undoubtedly important for the scientific community. Integration of trees in agroforestry system results in positive or negative tree-crop interactions, hence, selection of suitable tree – crop combinations is important. Yes. Yes. Yes. Yes. Several other sources of literature should be cited, for example LAL J., NAUGRAIYA M.N., 2019. Performance of Ginger (Zingiber officinale) under Bamboo (Dendrocalamus strictus) based Agroforestry System in Plains of Chhattisgarh. Trends in Biosciences. 12(18): 1-6 There are several suggestions at the discretion of the author that could complement the work: 1) In the section "Material and methods": - provide the technology of cultivation of the studied spicy crops; - indicate which methods were used to record the harvest and determine biometric parameters. Provide relevant sources of references; - indicate which program was used for correlation analysis. 2) In the abstract, write the purpose of the research. 3) The significance of the results should be interpreted.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zinaida Dehtiarova
Department, University & Country	State Biotechnological University, Ukraine

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)