Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_111394
Title of the Manuscript:	Does Farmers Aware and Benefited with Price policy –A study in Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Manuscript	The recapacition of
Comparaty REVIOLON Comments	The topic is important in the scientific academic community in the sense that it provides an	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?	assessment on the government programmes and policies in agriculture. This means there is	
(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	provision of knowledge and insights on how farmers can be effectively supported by Government. It	
(1 loade while low deficehood of this management)	is hence important particularly in developing nations like Malawi where government give agricultural	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?	subsidies to local farmers.	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	Subsidies to local familiers.	
(ii not please suggest an alternative title)	Title	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	TILLE	
3. Is the abstract of the article complehensive:	The title is suitable but it could be paraphrased like "Examining Price Policy Awareness and	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Benefits among Farmers: A Study in Tamil Nadu"	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Deficits among Farmers. A Study in Tamil Nadu	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Abstract	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	The abstract is precisely right	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of	The abstract is precisely light	
	Structure	
additional references, please mention in the review form.		
(Amont from the company is made and a maintenance and from the amonths	The structure if right	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide	Scientific correctness	
additional suggestions/comments)	The paper is scientifically OK with statistics that support the ideas and results quantitatively	
	References	
	The references are not enough. They should also be at least 10 years old from the date of	
	publishing.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is fine for scholarly writing	
Optional/General comments	The paper should have up to date references with good in text citation.	
	The paper should also be looked at in terms of grammar against the English being used either	
	British or American. Spaces in between words should also be checked.	
	Shadh of American Spaces in Solwoon words enough also so enconed.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Duncane Batizani
Department, University & Country	University of Zambia, Malawi University of Science and Technology, Malawi College of Accountancy, Malawi

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)