

### Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_111394</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Does Farmers Aware and Benefited with Price policy –A study in Tamil Nadu</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## [Review Form 1.7](#)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<b>Yes, it is useful</b> <b>The topic is interesting and practical</b>  <b>Yes, it is suitable</b>  <b>Methodology should be completed and the study design and place and duration of study should be included in the methodology</b> <b>Key words should be completed</b>  <b>The writing of the article should be revised</b>  <b>References are not acceptable in any way, few have been used and they are very old</b> <b>Please update the references, otherwise the whole article will not be accepted</b>  <b>In the introduction, the importance of the topic should be discussed more</b> <b>Methodology is very brief and should be fully explained, and the demographic information and the method of data collection and the questionnaires used should be fully explained.</b> <b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION should be separated</b> <b>The discussion of the results is well stated and almost complete, but it is very incomplete and similar researches are not cited</b> <b>The discussion should be complete and the limitations of the research should be mentioned at the end</b> <b>Suggestions and conclusions should be discussed in the field of management</b>	Reviewers comment accepted  Methodology elaborated  References are updated
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Yes, it is suitable</b>	Agreed
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Methodology should be completed and the study design and place and duration of study should be included in the methodology Key words should be completed In the introduction, the importance of the topic should be discussed more Methodology is very brief and should be fully explained, and the demographic information and the method of data collection and the questionnaires used should be fully explained. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION should be separated The discussion of the results is well stated and almost complete, but it is very incomplete and similar researches are not cited The discussion should be complete and the limitations of the research should be mentioned at the end Suggestions and conclusions should be discussed in the field of management References are not acceptable in any way, few have been used and they are very old Please update the references, otherwise the whole article will not be accepted	Agreed

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues