

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_111394
Title of the Manuscript:	Does Farmers Aware and Benefited with Price policy –A study in Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Manuscript The topic is important in the scientific academic community in the sense that it provides an assessment on the government programmes and policies in agriculture. This means there is provision of knowledge and insights on how farmers can be effectively supported by Government. It is hence important particularly in developing nations like Malawi where government give agricultural subsidies to local farmers. Title The title is suitable but it could be paraphrased like ""Examining Price Policy Awareness and Benefits among Farmers: A Study in Tamil Nadu" Abstract The abstract is precisely right Structure The structure if right Scientific correctness The paper is scientifically OK with statistics that support the ideas and results quantitatively References The references are not enough. They should also be at least 10 years old from the date of publishing.	Reviewer comments are agreed Title of the article is continued as in the original form.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is fine for scholarly writing	Agreed
Optional/General comments	The paper should have up to date references with good in text citation. The paper should also be looked at in terms of grammar against the English being used either British or American. Spaces in between words should also be checked.	Agreed

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues