

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_110704
Title of the Manuscript:	Ex-situ conservation of Palms with special reference to endemic and IUCN Red List species in Acharya Jagadish Chandra Bose Indian Botanic Garden, Howrah, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  1. Definitely this manuscript will be a good one writing but some corrections are needed. Objective and findings should be interrelated. Causes of extinction and possible solution of extinction/endangered can be addressed 2. Title is almost ok 3. Abstract should be revised according to the comments in the main manuscript 4. Subsections should be written following the journals directions. Subsections might be issued following titles and objectives 5. Scientifically correct but the materials and methods section should be practiced in a proper scientific way. 6. Some References are out dated. Please add some update references	  Noted  Revision made  Corrected
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	  1. English proficiency is almost ok	  ok
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	  1. The manuscript must be revised properly. Conservation methodology and data collection process should be more scientific.	  ok

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	