

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109992
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF FOLIAR SPRAYING OF NANO ZnO ON QUALITATIVE AND PHYSICAL PARAMETERS OF TOMATO GROWN IN POLYBAGS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>I trust this message finds you in good health. I appreciate the opportunity to serve as a reviewer for the manuscript titled "EFFECT OF FOLIAR SPRAYING OF NANO ZnO ON QUALITATIVE AND PHYSICAL PARAMETERS OF TOMATO GROWN IN POLYBAGS," assigned the manuscript number Ms_IJECC_109992, submitted to the esteemed International Journal of Environment and Climate Change.</p> <p>Upon thorough examination of the manuscript, I would like to commend the authors for several positive aspects:</p> <p>Clarity of Methodology: The manuscript excels in presenting a well-structured methodology, providing readers and researchers with a clear and detailed explanation.</p> <p>Data Presentation: The use of tables for data presentation enhances reliability and interpretation. The tables are well-crafted, contributing to effective visualization of results.</p> <p>Discussion Expansion: The discussion section, rooted in the presented data, offers a comprehensive analysis in alignment with existing literature.</p> <p>While the manuscript demonstrates notable strengths, I have a few constructive comments to enhance its overall quality:</p> <p>Reference Data Up to 2017: Kindly consider updating the referenced data to include information up to the year 2017.</p> <p>Adherence to Citation and Referencing Format: Ensure strict compliance with the journal's citation and referencing format requirements.</p> <p>Headings Formatting: Remove colons from main headings for a more streamlined appearance.</p> <p>Uniform Formatting: Maintain consistency in letter fonts, spacing, and other formatting elements throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>Table Presentation: In the results section (Table 1), eliminate the small brackets for full language coherence.</p> <p>I trust that these suggestions will contribute to refining the manuscript further. Should you require any additional clarification or further feedback, please do not hesitate to reach out.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhirendra Man Thapa
Department, University & Country	Mid-West University, Nepal