

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111479
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Metabolic profiling of Drought-Tolerant and Drought-Sensitive Maize inbred lines under Drought stress.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, a wonderful finding is reported in this research work. Scientific community can work based on this research to find out metabolic profiling of draught sensitive and draught tolerant Maize varieties.</p> <p>Yes title is perfect. However, one dot (.) is present at the last of title which need to remove.</p> <p>Absolutely fine</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Perfect</p> <p>I found all the references latest and sufficient. It shows the sincerity of authors who gone through latest article in order to prepare this manuscript. However, references 21 and 22 are repeated. Authors need to replace any of the references with some other reference.</p> <p>Uniform formatting of the manuscript is required. Font size and spacing is not uniform. Authors need to correct that.</p>	<p>Dot deleted form the title</p> <p>Repeated references taken care of</p> <p>Carefully corrected the front and spacing</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Research work done and the way of writing found very impressive. Sufficient amount of data is available to support the research work. This work must be accepted in our reputed journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No there is not such type of ethical issue is associated with manuscript.