Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_110985
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Education System in Rural India: A Case Study on Chikana Village of Tonk District in Rajasthan, India.
Type of the Article	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	> Challenges facing a country's educational system in various contexts cannot be	
Is the manuscript important for scientific community?	researched. Hence, the significance of the study. However, the manuscript needs some	
(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	fundamental corrections.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?	> The author(s) could consider this title: Challenges of Primary Education System i	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	Rural India: A Case Study on Chikana Village of Tonk District in Rajasthan, India	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	➤ In the abstract, "40 people" should be written as "40 participants" and more specifi	
·	information should be provided about the participants.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	> The abstract needs to be coherently presented ending up with the major findings of the	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	study and recommendation/conclusion.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	More keywords such as primary educationsystem, and educational challenges could be added.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Previous studies on the challenges of the primary education system in India should be mentioned.	
	> The content under the literature review section does not depict a review of the	
	literature. I suggest the section be titled "Indian primary educational policies".	
	> There should be a literature review on the standard of a primary education system.	
	Methodologically, random sampling is not appropriate for research.	
	> The demography and number of each group that comprised 40 participants were no	t
	provided.	
	There should be consistency between the research method and analysis of the result.	
	All the components of research methodology (paradigm, approach, design, sample	,
	data collection, and analysis) should be clearly articulated.	
	The sources of secondary data were not indicated.	
	No consistent data collection method across the participant groups.	
	➤ Check: "Of the respondents in this category, 62.5% were women and the res	t
	37.5% were women."	
	The manuscript is scientifically correct but needs to be scaled up.	
	The author(s) did not provide any in-text reference.	
	The journal manuscript template was not adhered to.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is a need for language editing.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter Babajide Oloba
Department, University & Country	University of Johannesburg, South Africa

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)