

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_110985
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Education System in Rural India: A Case Study on Chikana Village of Tonk District in Rajasthan, India.
Type of the Article	Research Article (Case Study)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. The mentioned research is very important for the society; it is hoped that it will be applied. 2. Assessment of Primary Education System in Rural India: A Case Study on Chikana Village of Tonk District in Rajasthan, India 3. Kindly, the following points should be well placed in the summary. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Be brief about your research. Research objectives should be written. Write the research data collection method and sampling technique. The result obtained should be written. 4. Kindly replace the below mentioned parts in the structure of the article. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract Keywords introduction Literature Review Method and procedure Data collection process Sampling and sampling techniques Statistical analysis Results and discussion Conclusion 5. Yes 6. Please format the citations according to APA	2. Paper has been renamed, thank you for your suggestion. Collectively, I'd like to thank the reviewer for their feedback. I have tried to make as many changes as I could to the paper. The suggested format of paper has been adhered to, and references have been edited and are now in the APA style.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. It is better to send it to an English language specialist so that it can be improved.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	