

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_110985
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Education System in Rural India: A Case Study on Chikana Village of Tonk District in Rajasthan, India.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Challenges facing a country's educational system in various contexts cannot be researched. Hence, the significance of the study. However, the manuscript needs some fundamental corrections. <input type="checkbox"/> The author(s) could consider this title: Challenges of Primary Education System in Rural India: A Case Study on Chikana Village of Tonk District in Rajasthan, India <input type="checkbox"/> In the abstract, "40 people" should be written as "40 participants" and more specific information should be provided about the participants. <input type="checkbox"/> The abstract needs to be coherently presented ending up with the major findings of the study and recommendation/conclusion. <input type="checkbox"/> More keywords such as primary education system, and educational challenges could be added. <input type="checkbox"/> Previous studies on the challenges of the primary education system in India should be mentioned. <input type="checkbox"/> The content under the literature review section does not depict a review of the literature. I suggest the section be titled "Indian primary educational policies". <input type="checkbox"/> There should be a literature review on the standard of a primary education system. <input type="checkbox"/> Methodologically, random sampling is not appropriate for research. <input type="checkbox"/> The demography and number of each group that comprised 40 participants were not provided. <input type="checkbox"/> There should be consistency between the research method and analysis of the result. <input type="checkbox"/> All the components of research methodology (paradigm, approach, design, sample, data collection, and analysis) should be clearly articulated. <input type="checkbox"/> The sources of secondary data were not indicated. <input type="checkbox"/> No consistent data collection method across the participant groups. <input type="checkbox"/> Check: "Of the respondents in this category, 62.5% were women and the rest 37.5% were women." <input type="checkbox"/> The manuscript is scientifically correct but needs to be scaled up. <input type="checkbox"/> The author(s) did not provide any in-text reference. <input type="checkbox"/> The journal manuscript template was not adhered to. 	<p>All suggested changes have been made, to the best of my capacity. I'd like to extend my gratitude to the reviewer for taking out the time and for such detailed feedback, it was truly very helpful. Thank You.</p>

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is a need for language editing.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	