# **Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111578
Title of the Manuscript:	LEADING TRANSFORMATION: PRACTICES OF SCHOOL HEADS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

# **Review Form 1.7**

# **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the
		manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
Is the manuscript important for scientific community?  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	1- The manuscript seems to be important one for the scientific community, as it digs into study of practices of transformational leadership among the Korean school leaders. By resorting to both quantitative and qualitative data, specifically focusing on the impact of leadership practices on the teachers, adds valuable insight in the arena of educational leadership. The findings and recommendations have implications for school management, teachers, students, and education policy formulators.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	2- The title of the article is fair, However, an alternative title, "Exploring transformational leadership practices among school leaders in Korean Schools" can also be adopted as it clearly communicates the primary focus and context of the study.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	3- The abstract is comprehensive enough to give a concise overview of the research significance, scope, methods adopted, significant findings, implications, and recommendations. However, it is suggested to mention following information in the abstract; i) Country name of Korean schools' location i.e., Philippines, and ii) Tools used for data analysis.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	4- The manuscript suggests a well-organized approach. Overall structure in terms of section and subsection of the manuscript depicts a logical flow. However, assigning chronological order i.e., numbering etc to headings and sub-headings will make it more comprehensible.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	5- The research design, data collection methods, theoretical framework, and analysis techniques align with established practices in social science research, making it scientifically viable.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	6- The manuscript has indeed taken sufficient and recent literature which enhances manuscript's depth.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the manuscript seems appropriate for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	An informative and well-structured manuscript.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

# **Review Form 1.7**

# PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Jahanzeb Khan Buzdar
Department, University & Country	Pakistan

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)