

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111578
Title of the Manuscript:	LEADING TRANSFORMATION: PRACTICES OF SCHOOL HEADS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- <i>The manuscript seems to be important one for the scientific community, as it digs into study of practices of transformational leadership among the Korean school leaders. By resorting to both quantitative and qualitative data, specifically focusing on the impact of leadership practices on the teachers, adds valuable insight in the arena of educational leadership. The findings and recommendations have implications for school management, teachers, students, and education policy formulators.</i></p> <p>2- <i>The title of the article is fair, However, an alternative title, “Exploring transformational leadership practices among school leaders in Korean Schools” can also be adopted as it clearly communicates the primary focus and context of the study.</i></p> <p>3- <i>The abstract is comprehensive enough to give a concise overview of the research significance, scope, methods adopted, significant findings, implications, and recommendations. However, it is suggested to mention following information in the abstract; i) Country name of Korean schools’ location i.e., Philippines, and ii) Tools used for data analysis.</i></p> <p>4- <i>The manuscript suggests a well-organized approach. Overall structure in terms of section and subsection of the manuscript depicts a logical flow. However, assigning chronological order i.e., numbering etc to headings and sub-headings will make it more comprehensible.</i></p> <p>5- <i>The research design, data collection methods, theoretical framework, and analysis techniques align with established practices in social science research, making it scientifically viable.</i></p> <p>6- <i>The manuscript has indeed taken sufficient and recent literature which enhances manuscript’s depth.</i></p>	<p>Agreed. It's encouraging to hear that the manuscript is considered important for the scientific community, particularly in exploring the practices of transformational leadership among Korean school leaders. The use of both quantitative and qualitative data to focus on the impact of leadership practices on teachers is acknowledged as adding valuable insights to the field of educational leadership. I'm pleased to know that the findings and recommendations are seen as having implications for various stakeholders, including school management, teachers, students, and education policy formulators.</p> <p>The title of the article was renamed to “Exploring transformational leadership practices among school leaders in Korean Schools” as suggested.</p> <p>As recommended, Country name of Korean schools’ location i.e., Philippines, and ii) Tools used for data analysis were added in the abstract section of the article.</p> <p>Each section of the article were numbered as recommended by the reviewer.</p> <p>Agreed. It's reassuring to hear that the research design, data collection methods, theoretical framework, and analysis techniques align with established practices in social science research, rendering the study scientifically viable.</p> <p>Agreed. It's encouraging to know that the effort put into researching and referencing is being acknowledged.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p><i>The language/English quality of the manuscript seems appropriate for scholarly communications.</i></p>	<p>Agreed. I appreciate the feedback regarding the language and English quality of the manuscript. It's reassuring to know that it is deemed appropriate for scholarly communications.</p>

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	An informative and well-structured manuscript.	Thank you for the positive feedback on the manuscript's informativeness and structure. I'm glad to hear that the content is meeting the expectations for clarity and organization.
---------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	