

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111578
Title of the Manuscript:	LEADING TRANSFORMATION: PRACTICES OF SCHOOL HEADS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p>	<p>Agreed. It's encouraging to hear that the manuscript is considered important for the scientific community, particularly in exploring the practices of transformational leadership among Korean school leaders. The use of both quantitative and qualitative data to focus on the impact of leadership practices on teachers is acknowledged as adding valuable insights to the field of educational leadership. I'm pleased to know that the findings and recommendations are seen as having implications for various stakeholders, including school management, teachers, students, and education policy formulators.</p> <p>I appreciate the feedback on the suitability of the article for the study. It's good to know that the content aligns well with the study's objectives.</p> <p>Thank you for the positive feedback regarding the comprehensiveness of the abstract. I'm pleased to hear that it effectively encapsulates the key aspects of the study.</p> <p>I appreciate the feedback on the appropriateness of the subsections and overall structure of the manuscript. It's reassuring to know that the organization meets the expected standards.</p> <p>Thank you for the feedback affirming the scientific correctness of the manuscript. Ensuring accuracy is paramount, and I'm glad to hear that the content aligns with scientific standards.</p> <p>I appreciate the acknowledgment that the references in the manuscript are deemed sufficient and recent. Ensuring a robust and up-to-date bibliography is crucial, and I'm pleased to hear that it meets the expectations in this regard.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	<p>I'm pleased to hear that the language and English quality of the article are considered suitable for scholarly communications. Effective communication is crucial in academic writing, and I'm committed to maintaining a high standard.</p>

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	See the attachment	Every comment highlighted in the original manuscript has been duly addressed in the article. The revisions made are clearly marked with yellow highlighting for easy identification
---------------------------	--------------------	---

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	