

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_99383
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY ON PREVALENCE AND ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE OF CYSTICERCUS TENUICOLLIS IN SMALL RUMINANT SLAUGHTERED AT JIGJIGA MUNICIPAL ABATTOIR.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript is very important for scientific community as it can cause economic losses globally I do prefer this title if the Author kindly accept it (PREVALENCE STUDY OF CYSTICERCUS TENUICOLLIS IN SMALL RUMINANT SLAUGHTERED AT JIGJIGA MUNICIPAL ABATTOIR). The abstract is comprehensive Subsections are appropriate enough if the Author kindly provide the study with some photos to determine the post mortem lesions macroscopically. The manuscript is scientifically correct. Number of references are fair enough but some of them are quite old if the Author kindly put some newer references as we are now in 2023. Scientific names of each microorganism is write either underline it or in Italic, please re write the name of causative agent in a scientific allowed method.	Strongly Agreed
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language is suitable for scholarly communications.	Strongly Agreed
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues in this manuscript