

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_99383
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY ON PREVALENCE AND ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE OF CYSTICERCUS TENUICOLLIS IN SMALL RUMINANT SLAUGHTERED AT JIGJIGA MUNICIPAL ABATTOIR.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	  1. Undoubtedly this manuscript is really interesting and has the potential to be extremely relevant for scientific community. The study design was really well conceived and it is pretty well written. As we know, Ethiopia is one of the African countries in which livestock production is more developed. In the particular case of small ruminants, there are several millions of animals produced annually, so it is extremely important to increase knowledge about a disease that is one of the biggest causes of organ condemnation in abattoirs, involving huge financial losses. The developed research was really well structured, the methodology is extremely well described and the analysis of results shows a very good perspective about this topic. No doubt that the manuscript is a good epidemiologic and economic analysis of small ruminants cysticercosis in that Ethiopian region.  2. In my opinion, the title should contain the name of the country where the abattoir is located. I would suggest that the title should be replaced by "STUDY ON PREVALENCE AND ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE OF CYSTICERCUS TENUICOLLIS IN SMALL RUMINANT SLAUGHTERED AT JIGJIGA MUNICIPAL ABATTOIR, ETHIOPIA".  3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and makes a good summary of the manuscript.  4. Yes, the subsections of the manuscript are adequate and its structure was really well conceived.  5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, and only little modifications must be made before publishment. The scientific names of the parasites need to be italicized. Because of that, the sentences including "Cysticercus tenuicollis" and "Taenia hydatigena" need to be replaced by " <i>Cysticercus tenuicollis</i> " and " <i>Taenia hydatigena</i> ". Additionally, there are some abbreviated forms of the parasite name that are not correctly typed: "C.tenuicollis", "C .tenuicollis" and "C. Tenuicollis". All of them need to be replaced by " <i>C. tenuicollis</i> ".  6. The number of references cited is really impressive (n = 62), which is clearly sufficient. The reference "18. Nath, S., Pal, S., Sanyal, K., Ghosh, C., & Mandal, S. (2011)..." needs to be replaced by "18. Nath, S., Pal, S., Sanyal, K., Ghosh, C., and Mandal, S. (2011)..." in order to follow the same pattern from the rest of the references.	  <b>I strongly agreed with reviewer's comments</b>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	  1. The English quality of the manuscript is very good and is adequate for a scientific article. There are little grammar/spelling mistakes that need to be corrected before publishment.  Additional comments: Replace the sentence "... their scolex librated..." by "... their scolex liberated...".	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Replace the sentence "...it may results eosinophilic infiltration..." by "...it may result in eosinophilic infiltration...".</p> <p>Replace the sentence "...entered in to the..." by "...entered into the...".</p> <p>Replace the sentence "...entered in to Microsoft ..." by "...entered into Microsoft ...".</p> <p>Replace the sentence "Over all prevalence" by "Overall prevalence".</p> <p>Replace the sentence "The prevalence of C. tenuicollis with in age groups of animals" by "The prevalence of C. tenuicollis within age groups of animals".</p> <p>Replace the word "Mesentry" by "Mesentery".</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	<b>No ethical issues in this manuscript</b>