

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_110493
Title of the Manuscript:	21st Century Skills of Fourth Year Teacher Education Students of Saint Michael College, Cantilan, Incorporated, Cantilan, Surigao del Sur
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes, though the paper lacks methodology that could strengthen the study like the conduct of qualitative approach to data collection. The design is a one-shot survey and may have threats to validity.</p> <p>The title should reflect the output of the study. Us the paper mere exploratory in nature? What do you want to achieve? Get rid of the locale/setting of the study in the title. Reflect in the discussion on samples and sampling techniques under methodology.</p> <p>Yes, it is clearly presented.</p> <p>I find the subsections good but the methodology employed is rather shallow considering that the data that were collected and analyzed were outdated. (2016-2017).</p> <p>There are several threats to validity. Describe in the paper the limitations of the study.</p> <p>No. The references are too few. Avoid website or link as reference. It should be based on real studies. Read more and add more RRL.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The paper should be subjected to stylistics and editing.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The descriptive tables should contain only the mean and sd. Remove unnecessary columns.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis G. Caballes
Department, University & Country	Graduate Program School of Teacher Education, The National Teachers College, Philippines