

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_110738
Title of the Manuscript:	Indonesian Coffee Development Path: Production and International Trade
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. The manuscript is very important for scientific community. It shows analysis of the recent situation and points up to challenges for further research with the aim to increase productivity and competitiveness of the coffee production in Indonesia, which may lead to the higher quality of life of people involved in agriculture business. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. Subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. References are sufficient and recent. Some references in the list of references need some small corrections according to given instructions.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 1. Language quality of the article is of high quality and it is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anna Veszpremi Sirotkova
Department, University & Country	University of Economics in Bratislava, Slovakia