Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111763
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of copper sulphate on anther culture for haploid production in African marigold (Tagetes erecta L.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct
		the manuscript and highlight that part in the
		manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		nis/ner reedback nere)
Compaisory INE VISION Comments	1. The manuscript show positive effect of copper sulphate on anther culture tested; however, it	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?	1. The manascript show positive chost of sopper surplicate on antition suitable tested, however, it	
(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	stress that adoption of haploid production in African marigold measures for more farmer income.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	2. The title of the article is suitable.	
(ii not please suggest an alternative title)	3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive but it should be shortened to less than 200 words.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	o. The about of the article is comprehensive but it should be shortened to less than 250 words.	
	4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are Appropriate.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
	5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	C. The reference are sufficient and recent	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	6. The references are sufficient and recent.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		
		J

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	THO THE GUARACK THE TO

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ved Prakash
Department, University & Country	Banaras Hindu University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)