

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111678
Title of the Manuscript:	Standardization of Hydroponic Growing Media and Nutrient solution application Intervals for Optimal Growth in Syngonium podophyllum Schott. cv. 'White butterfly'
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, this manuscript is important for scientific community and others researchers also.</p> <p>Title is appropriate and suitable.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Some more recent references should add for supporting the manuscript.</p>	Reviewer's comments were valuable for improving the manuscript. I have included the corrections recommended by the reviewer
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, there is no issues with language. Article suitable for scholarly communication. The explanation of the proposed mechanism is clear.	
Optional/General comments	The paper is generally well written and structured. The authors conduct very relevant research. I thoroughly enjoyed reviewing this manuscript. I recommended to accept the paper after some changes that I have suggested.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	