

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_111561
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulation and in vitro evaluation of gas-generating floating tablets of domperidone, A novel gastroretentive matrix tablet.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<b>Yes.</b>  - Title need to be changed to “Formulation and in vitro evaluation of a novel gastroretentive gas-generating floating matrix tablets of domperidone” - Abstract is comprehensive and well separated into parts like aim and objective, methodology, result and conclusion. - Results of some tests are included in methodology.  - Yes  - References are very old, update to recent references.	  The suggested article title looks more appropriate; it should be changed according to it.  The abstract was updated in the revised manuscript as per the reviewer's suggestion.  The results of some tests are included in the methodology as per your instructions.  Updated references are added to the revised manuscript.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language is good for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Formulas present in the manuscript are not appropriate mathematically.	Appropriate mathematical formulas are added now.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	