

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_110885
Title of the Manuscript:	Pregnancy Outcomes in Diabetic Mothers With Controlled Glycemia: A Case-Control Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  1. Yes, the manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into how well-controlled blood sugar levels in diabetic mothers impact pregnancy outcomes. This information is vital for improving strategies for managing diabetes during pregnancy and ensuring better health for both mothers and babies. 2. Yes, it is suitable 3. It offers a clear snapshot of the research conducted. 4. The manuscript's subsections and structure are well-organized, allowing for a logical flow of information and easy navigation through the different components of the study. Each section seems to appropriately address its respective content 5. The manuscript appears scientifically sound, employing credible methods and referencing relevant literature to support its findings and conclusions 6. the references was good	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	  It was suitable for scholarly communication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Moein Moradpour
Department, University & Country	University of Pennsylvania, US