

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_111247
Title of the Manuscript:	The susceptibility to Rubella virus infection among pregnant women attending antenatal clinics in Unguja, Zanzibar: the need to employ multiple strategies to control Congenital Rubella syndrome in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	  1. The manuscript is of great interest since it treats a health issue that is still a public health problem because of the damage it can cause to the fetus and newborn.  2. The title is well written  3. Do not use abbreviations in the abstract. Please correct them: CRS; RV. Concerning the abstract plan, please follow the IMRAD plan.  4. The structure of the manuscript does not follow the IMRAD plan. The results and the discussion should be separated.  5. If the author reviews and corrects the comments given, the manuscript can be considered correct.  6. I suggest that the author add the attached reference: Hattoufi K, Aguenou H, Kharbach A, Barkat A. Seroprevalence of Rubella in a Group of Moroccan Parturients and Physicians' Opinions. Iranian Journal of Neonatology IJN. 2022; 13(3). Update your reference list with more recent references.   - The study's practical section lacks detail. More detail needs to be added to the laboratory section  - The discussion should be developed in order to improve the manuscript.	  1. Thank you  2. Thank you  3. Thank you. This has been corrected  4. Thank you. This has been corrected  5. Thank you. We have worked in all raised comments as highlighted in the document  6. Thank you, the reference has been included in the manuscript  Details on laboratory section have been added and the details have been added in the discussion
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	  A linguistic revision is necessary	  Thank you. This has been corrected
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	