

# **Aminochelates: Advances and Applications in Plant Nutrition – A Comprehensive Review**

## **Abstract**

This comprehensive review explores the advances and applications of aminochelates in plant nutrition, shedding light on their significance and impact in modern agricultural practices. Aminochelates, which consist of metal ions chelated with amino acids, have gained substantial attention for their role in enhancing nutrient uptake and addressing deficiencies in various plant species. The review begins by delving into the fundamental principles of aminochelation and the unique properties that make these compounds highly effective in facilitating nutrient absorption by plants. The interaction between aminochelates and different nutrient elements is examined, providing insights into the mechanisms that contribute to improved nutrient availability in the soil and subsequent uptake by plant roots. Furthermore, the paper discusses the role of aminochelates in mitigating nutrient stress and enhancing plant growth and development. The review incorporates a comprehensive analysis of research findings, including studies on diverse crops, soil types, and environmental conditions. Special attention is given to the safety of aminochelates for plants and the environment, ensuring a balanced evaluation of their overall impact on sustainable agriculture. Additionally, the review explores recent technological advancements and innovations related to the synthesis and application of aminochelates, highlighting emerging trends and potential future developments in the field of plant nutrition. The synthesis methods, application strategies, and their efficacy in different agricultural settings are critically assessed. In conclusion, this review provides a thorough understanding of aminochelates, emphasizing their significance as a promising tool for improving plant nutrition. The insights presented in this paper contribute to the existing knowledge base, guiding future research directions and applications in the realm of sustainable and efficient agricultural practices.

**Keywords:** Aminochelates, nutrition, nutrient uptake, sustainable agriculture, etc.

## **INTRODUCTION**

### **What is chelate?**

The word chelate derives from the Greek word “chel”, meaning a crab’s claw, and refers to the pincer-like manner in which the mineral is bound. The term “chelate” was first used by Morgan and Drew.

In 1950 - iron chelates were used to correct Fe deficiency in plant. In fertilizer technology, Chelate refers to inorganic nutrient that enclosed by an organic or synthetic molecule. Chelation is a term that describes an encapsulation process.




Fig 1. Structure of Chelate

Chelating is the chemical process by which a mineral is combined with organic or synthetic molecules. The resulting substances are known as chelates. Chelates easily penetrate plants, proving highly effective in promptly addressing nutrient deficiencies while ensuring the plant's safety.

A chelator or chelating agent is a chemical compound that engages with metal ions, creating durable and water-soluble compounds.

Numerous naturally existing chelating agents, including amino acids, organic acids, humic acids, fluvic acids, lignosulphonates, lignin polycarboxylates, sugar acids, phenols, polyphosphates, flavonoids, and siderophores, are available. Generally more cost-effective, they exhibit functionality across a broader pH spectrum and pose lower toxicity to plants.

#### What is the difference between complex and chelate?

A complex is an agent capable of forming a connection with a metal ion using either a single atom or multiple atoms. On the other hand, a chelate is an agent that forms a bond with a metal ion using at least two atoms, excluding single-atom bonds. It's crucial to recognize that certain minerals, such as boron or molybdenum, have only one chemical bond, restricting them to the formation of complexes exclusively. Despite this limitation, boron and molybdenum are frequently marketed and sold as chelated minerals in the market.

Comment [TK1]: Please include the reference(s)

Comment [TK2]: Please include the reference(s)

## WHY CHELATED MICRONUTRIENTS IN PLANT NUTRITION ?

### Micronutrients issues for healthy plant growth:

Soils possessing elevated cation exchange capacity and abundant organic matter have the ability to retain micronutrients, holding them in a biologically accessible and ionic state for plants.

However, not all micronutrient requirements are naturally met, necessitating the application of synthetic micronutrient fertilizers to support plant growth. These micronutrients, when in ionic form, become available to plants within a specific pH range (pH 6.5 to 7.5). Soil with a high pH (above 7.5) reduces the availability of certain micronutrients such as Cu, Fe, Mn, and Zn. Conversely, low soil pH may limit macronutrients like Ca, Mg, and Mo. The application of synthetic micronutrients to the soil can be challenging, particularly in sandy soils or when cultivating crops with high micronutrient demands. Over time, various synthetic and organically chelated micronutrients have been developed to exhibit lower reactivity to soil conditions.

**Comment [TK3]:** Please include the reference(s)

### Benefits of chelated micronutrients in plant nutrition:


Chelated micronutrients in fertilizers involve micronutrient ions enclosed by a larger molecule known as a ligand or chelator, which can be either natural or synthetic chemicals. Various chelating agents are employed in agricultural systems to address deficiencies that standard fertilizers cannot rectify. Consequently, synthetic chelates are widely utilized in soil to enhance the bioavailability of micronutrients and increase their concentrations in plant tissues. Soil, being heterogeneous and complex, may render traditional micronutrient applications ineffective due to oxidation or precipitation.

**Comment [TK4]:** Give examples of chelating agent related to this situation and do not forget to include the reference(s)

However, chelated micronutrients are safeguarded against oxidation, precipitation, and immobilization under specific conditions. The utilization of chelated micronutrients enhances micronutrient bioavailability, thereby positively impacting crop quality and yield. These chelates are particularly beneficial when plants exhibit micronutrient stress, in alkaline soils with limited micronutrient availability, or when soil micronutrient supplementation proves inadequate.

**Comment [TK5]:** Please include the reference(s)

Fig 2. TYPES OF CHELATOR



#### ORGANIC V/s SYNTHETIC CHELATE:

Organic chelate shows more penetration rate than synthetic chelate, due to larger molecules such as EDTA, DTPA etc. Organic chelate are biodegraded and non-toxic to the environment, e.g. EDTA form chemically and microbiologically stable complex. Synthetic chelate leads to a threat of underwater contamination and due strong binding capacity cause deficiency of others nutrient. Organic chelate can be used as food source to the microorganism while the synthetic cannot. Organic chelating agent are more stable in comparison to synthetic chelating agent.

Comment [TK6]: ? or can be written vice versa

#### How chelate is works in plant nutrition?

Chelates are molecules characterized by a neutral charge, a crucial attribute. For instance, both calcium and magnesium carry a positive charge. The soil, particularly clay soils, generally bears a negative charge. Consequently, calcium and magnesium are more likely to interact with the soil, rendering them insoluble and unavailable for plant absorption.

Comment [TK7]: Please write the reference(s)

Additionally, since calcium and magnesium share the same positive charge, they compete for entry into the plant. Plant leaves contain negatively charged pores, known as stomates, causing positively charged molecules to struggle to penetrate and slowing down absorption at the stomatal entrance. Chelated minerals, owing to their neutral charge, can easily enter these pores.

Comment [TK8]: Please write the reference(s) and it might be more interesting to read if added the Figure or illustration related to how chelate works in plant nutrition

#### Advantages of chelates over tradition forms:

Comment [TK9]: It would be better to make an explanation for each advantages, and do not to include the reference(s)

- Chelates are absorbed more readily by plant roots or leaves, requiring significantly lower quantities compared to inorganic compounds.
- Loss of nutrients through leaching is prevented by chelates, and chelation enhances the mobility of nutrients in soil.
- Chelates exhibit easier translocation and assimilation within the plant, reducing competition among minerals, particularly cations.
- Chelates contribute to higher environmental protection by minimizing nitrate and metal ion pollutions.
- Chelating agents safeguard metal ions from undesirable chemical reactions, making chelated iron, zinc, manganese, and copper a preferable method for supplying micronutrients to crops under adverse soil conditions.
- Chelates demonstrate compatibility with a diverse range of pesticides and liquid fertilizers, as they do not react with their components.
- The risk of crop 'scorching' is lower when using chelates due to their organic nature. Additionally, they hinder the growth of plant pathogens by reducing available iron.

#### WHAT IS AMINOCHELATE?

Aminochelates represent a category of chelate fertilizers derived from amino acids, encompassing both single or multiple micronutrients. Additionally, aminochelates inclusive of macronutrients are prevalent in the market. The synthesis of aminochelate fertilizers involves combining amino acids (typically two to three moles) with metal nutrients obtained from a soluble metal salt (one mole).

These fertilizers, a recent innovation in plant nutrition for agriculture, constitute new formulations produced through the utilization of diverse amino acids, of which there are 20 types. Amino acids, essential components in cell machinery and protein, serve as the foundational elements for amino chelates. These chelates demonstrate systemic behavior within plants, efficiently traveling to target locations due to the plant's recognition of amino acids as fundamental building blocks present in nearly all plant tissues.

Functioning as bi-dentate ligands, aminochelates establish robust chemical bonds with positively charged metal atoms. Amino chelated minerals are more easily digestible compared to their nonchelated counterparts. Once within the plant, the mineral is released, and the residual amino acids forming a protective shell become a source of water-soluble

**Comment [TK10]:** Please write the references that used to make paragraphs related this subtopic

nitrogen for the plant. This ensures minimal wastage, as every component is utilized. Amino chelates are available in liquid or powder forms, commonly employed in organic food production. Their application generally leads to enhanced nutrient uptake efficiency and reduced negative side effects.

#### **Glycine: Good things in small packages:**

Glycine chelates, also referred to as glycinates, represent a subgroup within amino acid chelates. Utilized as a chelating agent, glycine, being the smallest amino acid, yields a compact end product that facilitates smoother passage through leaf pores (stomates) compared to larger molecules. This characteristic enhances plant uptake. In wine production, glycine chelates find application not only for delivering essential nutrients but also for providing Yeast Available Nitrogen (YAN). The presence of glycine enables yeast to break it down, utilizing the released nitrogen in the construction of its cell walls.

#### **Quality control factors of amino chelates:**

Following production, amino chelates undergo quality control assessments, encompassing several crucial factors:

- i. Appropriate molecular weight: While all amino acids possess the potential for amino chelate synthesis, those with a molecular weight ranging from 150 to 200 grams are deemed most suitable. The resultant amino chelate should not exceed 800 Dalton in molecular weight, with a preference for smaller amino acids like glycine. Their diminutive size facilitates easy uptake by plant roots or leaves.
- ii. Correct electrical charge: It is imperative that the produced amino chelate maintains electrical neutrality to prevent undesirable chemical interactions before absorption.
- iii. High water solubility and plant availability: Amino chelates must exhibit high solubility in water and be readily available to plants, ensuring straightforward metabolism within the plant system.

#### **APPLICATION METHOD:**

Amino acid chelates prove highly compatible with greenhouse and hydroponics setups, primarily due to their typical organic certification. They are easily absorbed by plants through both roots and foliage, and they generally do not exhibit phytotoxicity. In hydroponic

systems, such as aquaponics, where fish are part of the integrated setup, it becomes crucial to avoid introducing synthetic substances into the fish tissues or meat.

Consequently, opting for organic materials becomes a clear and prudent choice, with amino acid chelates offering a direct application to foliage or nutrient solutions for prompt correction of nutrient deficiencies. Broadly speaking, there are two primary approaches for applying fertilizer in crop systems, involving both soil and foliar methods. Aminochelates represent a viable option for fertilization in both soil and foliar applications as well.

#### **Foliar application:**

The application of nutrients through foliar feeding is a valuable method for achieving well-rounded nutrition and maximizing both the yield and quality of agricultural crops, especially in challenging weather conditions. In various instances, the use of foliar spray for fertilizers is favored over soil application, especially when addressing the supply of micronutrients like iron (Fe) and zinc (Zn) in calcareous, saline, or drought-affected soils.

By combining foliar feeding techniques with aminochelate application, there is a notable enhancement in nutrient concentrations within plant tissues, effectively addressing nutrient deficiencies. This integrated approach also proves to be an effective strategy for enhancing plant resistance against a range of biotic and abiotic stresses.

**Comment [TK11]:** Please write the reference(s)

#### **Soil application:**

Aminochelates prove to be effective fertilizers for soil use as well. In certain delicate vegetable crops like cucumber or garden cress, applying aminochelates to the soil is preferred over their foliar application, which can induce phytotoxicity on leaves. When applied to the soil, aminochelates facilitate the more efficient uptake of various nutrient elements by plant roots, even those not present in their formulation.

Additionally, aminochelates can be employed through deep and banded placement or in a fertigation system. Their application to the soil contributes to the development of robust soil profiles and enhances biological activity in the soil. Studies indicate that soil microbes exhibit better competition for the reduced forms of nitrogen, such as amino acids and ammonium, over nitrate, compared to plant roots.

In calcareous soils, whether applied through foliar spraying, soil incorporation, or irrigation water, amino chelates can fulfill the nutrient requirements of plants. This increased effectiveness likely accounts for their greater acceptance among farmers in such regions.

**Comment [TK12]:** Please write the reference(s)

#### **Desirable characteristics of amino chelated fertilizer:**

- Does not promote other deficiencies
- Formulation is safe for plants
- Organic certification
- Readily available to the plant

#### **Undesirable characteristics of amino chelated fertilizer:**

- Not organically certified
- Formulation is prone to burning
- Slow uptake
- Needs ion replacement to release micronutrient

**Comment [TK13]:** make one sub-discussion, for example with the title "desirable VS undesirable characteristic of amino chelated fertilizer" and do not forget to explain each point, and please include the references

#### **Why farmers are not ready to use amino chelates fertilizers ?**

- Lack of awareness
- Less availability of chelated fertilizers in market
- Only limited nutrients are available as chelated form
- High price per quantity as compared to traditional fertilizers

**Comment [TK14]:** please write in paragraph(s) and explain each point, and please include the reference(s)

#### **REVIEW OF LITERATURE:**

Jie *et al.* (2008) observed maximum plant height (38.36 cm), root length (21.38 cm) and dry weight per plant (4.91 g) with application amino chelate-Fe compared to FeSO<sub>4</sub> and EDTA-Fe fertilizers.

**Comment [TK15]:** : Maybe it would be better to replace this sub discussion with other sentence, such as "Several studies related to chelating agents for plants"

Datir *et al.* (2012) concluded that among the different treatments, treatment 2.0 % amino acid micronutrient chelate solution gave significantly higher fruit length (10.73 cm), single fruit weight (4.50 g) and yield per plant (623.29 g) and it was at par with treatment 1.5 % amino acid micronutrient chelate solution.

**Comment [TK16]:** Usually one paragraph consists at least 3 sentences so this (and so on) requires additional sentences to form one paragraph

Ghasemi *et al.* (2013) recorded that highest grain yield of wheat obtained with application of amino chelated fertilizers (Zn-glycine, Zn-histidine and Zn-arginine) as compare to other treatment (control and zinc sulphate).

Mohammadi and Hossein (2014) reported that highest shoot dry matter and root dry matter obtained with treatment of Zn-glycine chelate fertilizer under three salinity levels (0, 20, 40 mM). They also reported the highest K concentration and lowest Na concentration in root found with Zn-glycine chelate fertilizer under hydroponic condition.

Saeedi *et al.* (2015) noted that significantly higher fresh weight of flower (306.41 g/plant), dry weight (139.85 g/plant) and vase life (16.72 days) of Cinderella lime by application of Ca-lysine chelate @ (1%) which was at par with Ca-methionine chelate (1%) and Ca-threonine chelate (1%).

Rafie *et al.* (2017) recorded that significantly higher bulb yield and TSS (%) in onion by foliar application of Zn-lysine chelated fertilizer which was at par with all others sole amino acids and aminochelates-Zn fertilizers except control and zinc sulphate treatment. They also reported the significantly higher concentration of Zn in bulb and lowest concentration of nitrate in bulb obtained with foliar application of Zn-lysine chelated fertilizer.

Aslani and Souri (2018) noted that the higher concentration of vitamin C (mg/100 g) in green bean obtained with application of Biomin, Humifolin and DelfonPlus compared to control and NPK application under lime soil conditions. They also reported the highest leaf N content with Biomin application while highest leaf K content with NPK which was at par with Biomin application.

Souri *et al.* (2018) recorded that higher SPAD value (41.6), pod yield (45.1 g/plant), pod protein content (4.9 %) and pod Fe concentration (119.0 mg/kg) in green bean obtained with foliar application of Fe-Glycine @ 500 mg/l than rest of the treatments.

Dhanalakshmi *et al.* (2019) revealed that there was 26.2 % in grain yield (6334 kg/ha) and 14.8 % in straw yield (8806 kg/ha) increase over RDF with treatment chelated micronutrient mixture @ 12.5 kg/ha.

Mohammadipour and Souri(2019) recorded that compared to the control plants, there was a substantial increase of 10 mg/L glycine in the fresh and dry weights of the shoots and roots. Many plant development metrics were lowered by applying 40 mg/L of glycine, but the concentration of proline in leaves rose. All glycine concentrations—aside from 40 mg/L—increased fresh weight of the root. Glycine administered at 10 or 20 mg/L enhanced the amount of protein in the leaf.

Souri and Sooraki (2019) noted that among the different treatments higher number of leaves (9.7), chlorophyll index (34.5), leaf Zn concentration (47.4 mg/kg) and leaf N

concentration (2.51 %) of chilli pepper was recorded with application of Biomin under cool temperature condition.

Krishnarajet *al.* (2020) observed that the application of RDF (250:75:75 NPK kg/ha) +foliar application of 0.2 % Fe-glycinate& Zn-methionate formulation (17:17) gave significantly higher plant height (182.80 cm), chlorophyll content (55.70), grain yield (5932 kg/ha) and dry matter production (14013 kg/ha) in maize at harvest which was at par with treatment RDF + foliar application of 0.2 % Fe-glycinate& Zn-methionate formulation (25:17).

Tabesh *et al.*(2020) concluded that sadrivariety found superior than talash variety in bean and higher grain yield was obtained in treatment ZnSO<sub>4</sub> but it was at par with treatment Zn-histidine in seed priming.

Zargar Shooshtari *et al.* (2020) noted that among the different treatments, treatment soil application of glycine (500 mg/plant) gave significantly higher plant height (2.8 cm), leaf SPAD value (40), plant economic life (93.0), number of fruits per plant (74), total plant yield (5.3 kg/plant) and same tread also found in leaf protein and nitrate reductase activity in cucumber.

Bashir *et al.* (2021) reported that treatment priming with 1.5% Zn-lysine chelate + inoculation with a consortium of highly ZSB in maize gave significantly higher grain yield (16.64 t/ha and 16.06 t/ha) and biological yield (23.41 t/ha and 23.69 t/ha) in both year 2016 and 2017, respectively than rest of the treatments.

Jawahar *et al.* (2021) recorded that among the different treatments, treatment 1% ferrous glycinate as FS on 25 & 45 DAS in blackgram gave significantly higher value of plant height (52.5 cm), SPAD value (53.7), test weight (6.30 g), protein (24.8 %) and starch (45.3 %).

Kalaiselvan *et al.* (2021)recorded that significantly higher plant height (264.6 cm),leaf length (148.21 cm) and chlorophyll content (56.99) in napier grass at 45 DAC (day after cutting) by treatment of RDF (75:50:40 kg/ha) +soil applicattion of Zn lysinate @ 4 kg/ha + FA of 0.5 % Zn-lysinate at 20 DAC as compared to other treatments.

Kothaipriyaet *al.* (2021) reported that significantly higher plant height (192.5 cm), number of leaves (13.2) and leaf area index (5.28) of maize under STCR + soil application of Zn-lysinate formulation @ 7.5 kg/ha + 0.5% Zn-lysinate spray at 30 & 45 days after sowing.

Yeboah *et al.* (2021) noted that maximum plant heightand seed yield of common bean obtained with FA of combination of Zn + Mg aminochelate fertilizer as compared to common fertilizer Zn, Mg and water spray.

## Conclusion:

With no negative impacts on the environment, aminochelates are a safer, more effective, and more effective type of fertilizer for plant nourishment.

From foregoing discussion, it can be concluded that aminochelates as applied either in soil or foliar in form of natural chelated fertilizer that can increase growth, yield and improve quality of the various crops. Further, application dose and time are also determining the growth, yield and yield attributes of crops. The aminochelates are certainly suitable for specific conditions *i.e.* High soil salinity, lower temperature, etc.

Comment [TK17]: make it one paragraph

## References:

- Aslani, M. and Sour, M. K. (2018). *Open Agric. J.*, **3** (1): 146-154.
- Bashir, S.; Basit, A.; Abbas, R. N.; Naeem, S.; Bashir, S. and Ahmed, N. (2021). *PLoS ONE*, **16** (7).
- Datir, R. B.; Apparao, B. J. and Laware, S. L. (2012). *Pl. Sci. Feed*, **2** (7): 100-105.
- Dhanalakshmi, M.; Prabhakaran, J.; Senthil, K.; Thiyageshwari, S. and Sathyamoorthy, N. K. (2019). *IJCS*, **7** (3): 3040-3042.
- Ghasemi, S.; Khoshgoftarmanesh, A. H.; Afyuni, M. and Hadadzadeh, H. (2013). *Sci. Hortic.*, **165**: 91-98.
- Jawahar, D.; Murali, S.; Jeya Sundara Sharmila, D. and Sivakumar, K. (2021). *J. Agriseach*, **8** (4): 325-330.
- Jie, M.; Raza, W.; Xu, Y. C. and Shen, Q. R. (2008). *J. Plant Nutr.*, **31** (3): 571-582.
- Kalaiselvan, G.; Senthil, K.; Shanmugasundaram, R.; Yuvaraja, A.; Saravanan, S.; Prabhakaran, J. and Santwana, P. (2021). *J. Pharm. Innov.*, **10** (10): 1861-1865.
- Kothaipriya, S.; Prabhakaran, J.; Senthil, K.; Kannan, P. and Parameswari, C. (2021). *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.* **10** (1): 370-372.
- Krishnaraj, M.; Senthil, K.; Shanmugasundaram, R.; Prabhakaran, J. and Subramanian, E. (2020). *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.*, **9** (6): 1212-1216.
- Mohammadi, P. and Hossein, A. (2014). *Sci. Hortic.*, **172**: 117-123.
- Mohammadipour, N. and Sour, M. K. (2019). *ActaAgrobot.*, **72** (1):1759.
- Rafie, M. R.; Khoshgoftarmanesh, A. H.; Shariatmadari, H.; Darabi, A. and Dalir, N. (2017). *Sci. Hortic.*, **216**: 160-168.

- Saeedi, R.; Etemadi, N.; Nikbakht, A.; Khoshgoftarmanesh, A. H. and Sabzalian, M. R. (2015). *Hort. Sci.*, **50** (9): 1394-1398.
- Souri, M. K. and Sooraki, F. M. (2019). *J. Plant Nutr.*, **42** (6): 650-656.
- Souri, M. K.; Naiji, M. and Aslani, M. (2018). *Commun. in Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, **49** (2):215-224.
- Tabesh, M.; Kiani, S.; and Khoshgoftarmanesh, A. H. (2020). *J. Plant Nutr.*, **43** (14), 2106-2116.
- Yeboah, S.; Asibuo, J.; Oteng-Darko, P.; Asamoah Adjei, E.; Lamptey, M.; Owusu Danquah, E.; Waswa, B. and Butare, L. (2021). *Int. J. Agron.*, p:1-9.
- ZargarShooshtari, F.; Souri, M. K.; Hasandokht, M. R. and Jari, S. K. (2020). *Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric.*, **7** (19).